

label, is not the common or usual name of any particular active ingredient, but is a generic name for a class of substances.

DISPOSITION: May 8, 1947. Pleas of guilty having been entered, the court imposed a fine of \$200 against each defendant.

2266. Adulteration of Neo-Femme Tablets. U. S. v. Winning-Peplow Co. Plea of nolo contendere. Fine, \$150. (F. D. C. No. 15525. Sample No. 70585-F.)

INFORMATION FILED: August 10, 1945, Southern District of California, against the Winning-Peplow Co., a partnership, Glendale, Calif.; charging the defendant with the giving of a false guaranty in violation of Section 301 (h).

ALLEGED VIOLATION: On or about October 7, 1943, the defendant sold and delivered to Martin Laboratories, Los Angeles, Calif., a quantity of estrogenic tablets in response to an order from Martin Laboratories, specifying that the tablets should contain 600 International Units of estrone. The defendant also prepared and delivered to the consignee an invoice containing a guaranty that the tablets were not adulterated or misbranded within the meaning of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act.

Between October 7, and November 13, 1943, Martin Laboratories repacked the tablets into boxes bearing the name *Neo-Femme Tablets* and shipped the tablets from the State of California into the State of Oregon. The tablets so guarantied and shipped were adulterated.

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 501 (c), the strength of the article differed from, and its quality fell below, that which it purported and was represented to possess, in that it was sold and delivered in response to an order for tablets containing 600 International Units of estrone per tablet, whereas the article contained less than 300 International Units of estrone per tablet.

DISPOSITION: A demurrer to the information was filed on behalf of the defendant on the ground (1) that the guaranty was not signed by the defendant and (2) that the guaranty on its face was not effective beyond the point of manufacture, since it contained language stating "that all potencies are accurate at time of manufacture." The demurrer was subsequently overruled. Thereafter, a plea of nolo contendere was entered on behalf of the defendant, and on December 14, 1945, the court imposed a fine of \$150.

2267. Adulteration of Aluthyn Tablets. U. S. v. Flint, Eaton & Co. Plea of nolo contendere. Fine, \$500. (F. D. C. No. 22093. Sample Nos. 20984-H, 49913-H.)

INFORMATION FILED: July 7, 1947, Southern District of Illinois, against Flint, Eaton & Co., a corporation, Decatur, Ill.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about December 17, 1945, and January 17, 1946, from the State of Illinois into the States of Kansas and Alabama.

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 501 (c), the strength of the article differed from that which it was represented to possess, since it was represented to contain $\frac{1}{8}$ grain of phenobarbital in each tablet, whereas it contained more than that amount of phenobarbital.

DISPOSITION: August 6, 1947. A plea of nolo contendere having been entered, the court imposed a fine of \$500.

2268. Adulteration of Panodyne Compound Tablets and Zemadine. U. S. v. The William A. Webster Co. Plea of nolo contendere. Fine, \$1,500. (F. D. C. No. 21437. Sample Nos. 864-H, 24026-H, 24683-H.)

INFORMATION FILED: November 14, 1947, Western District of Tennessee, against the William A. Webster Co., a corporation, Memphis, Tenn.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about September 18 and 19 and October 1, 1945, from the State of Tennessee into the States of Georgia, Mississippi, and Alabama.

NATURE OF CHARGE: *Panodyne Compound Tablets*. Section 501 (c), the strength of the article differed from that which it purported and was represented to possess, in that each tablet of the article was represented to contain one grain of acetphenetidin and one-fourth grain of caffeine, whereas each tablet of the article contained less than one grain of acetphenetidin and (portion of article) less than one-fourth grain of caffeine.

Zemadine. Adulteration, Section 501 (c), the strength of the article differed from that which it purported and was represented to possess, in that the article was represented to contain 20 percent of alcohol and each ounce was repre-

sented to contain 0.427 grain of mercuric chloride, whereas the article contained less than 20 percent of alcohol and each ounce contained less than 0.427 grain of mercuric chloride.

DISPOSITION: November 21, 1947. A plea of nolo contendere having been entered, the court imposed a fine of \$1,500.

2269. Adulteration of Vitaroid Tablets. U. S. v. The Warren-Teed Products Co. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$300. (F. D. C. No. 20178. Sample No. 35913-H.)

INFORMATION FILED: February 26, 1947, Southern District of Ohio, against The Warren-Teed Products Co., a corporation, Columbus, Ohio.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about November 6, 1945, from the State of Ohio into the State of Missouri.

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 501 (c), the strength of the article differed from that which it was represented to possess, since it was represented on its labeling to contain not less than 2,000 U. S. P. units of vitamin A, 15.0 milligrams of ascorbic acid, and 0.5 milligram of thiamine hydrochloride in each tablet, whereas each tablet of the article contained less than the declared amounts of vitamin A, ascorbic acid, and thiamine hydrochloride.

The information charged also that the defendant shipped in interstate commerce a misbranded food known as *Cal-Vitaron Tablets*, as reported in notices of judgment on foods.

DISPOSITION: April 7, 1947. A plea of guilty having been entered, the court imposed a fine of \$300 on the count charging adulteration of the *Vitaroid Tablets* and a fine of \$300 on the other count, charging adulteration of the *Cal-Vitaron Tablets*.

2270. Adulteration and misbranding of saccharin tablets. U. S. v. 8 Drums * * *. (F. D. C. No. 21597. Sample No. 94180-F.)

LIBEL FILED: October 31, 1946, Southern District of New York.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about April 18, 1946, by the Harco Pharmaceutical Corp., from Newark, N. J.

PRODUCT: 8 drums each containing 250,000 $\frac{1}{4}$ -grain *saccharin tablets* at New York, N. Y.

LABEL, IN PART: (Drums) "Harco * * * Saccharin $\frac{1}{4}$ U. S. P. Grs. Tablets."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 501 (b), the article was represented to be "Soluble Saccharin Tablets," the name of which is recognized in the United States Pharmacopoeia, and its strength differed from the standard set forth in that compendium. The article contained not more than 67 percent of the declared amount of sodium saccharin, whereas the Pharmacopoeia provides that soluble saccharin tablets contain not less than 95 percent of the labeled amount of sodium saccharin.

Misbranding, Section 502 (a), the label statements, "Saccharin $\frac{1}{4}$ U. S. P. Grs. Tablets Each Contains Soluble Saccharin U. S. P. $\frac{1}{4}$ gr.," were false and misleading. (The article contained an average of 0.16 grain of soluble saccharin per tablet.)

DISPOSITION: January 31, 1947. No claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

2271. Adulteration and misbranding of Lactobacillus acidophilus. U. S. v. 18 Bottles * * * (and 1 other seizure action). (F. D. C. Nos. 22572, 22787. Sample Nos. 82317-H, 82351-H.)

LIBELS FILED: March 3 and April 4, 1947, Eastern District of Washington.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about January 25 and February 6, 1947, by Kovac Laboratories, Inc., from Los Angeles, Calif.

PRODUCT: 47 8-ounce bottles of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* at Yakima, Wash.

LABEL, IN PART: "Kovac Type Culture Lactobacillus Acidophilus."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 501 (d), a culture containing essentially *Streptococci* had been substituted in whole or in part for a culture of *Lactobacillus acidophilus*.

Misbranding, Section 502 (a), the label statement "Culture Lactobacillus Acidophilus A condensed culture" was false and misleading as applied to the